

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/485,082	04/19/2000	GERHARD WYDRA	P-00.0001	1453
75	90 05/22/2003			
SCHIFF HARDIN & WATE			EXAMINER	
Patent Department 6600 Sears Tower			BARR, MICHAEL E	
233 South Wack	ker Drive			
CHICAGO, IL	60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1762	

DATE MAILED: 05/22/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Asting O		09/485,082	WYDRA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michael Barr	1762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any  Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 N	May 2003 .					
2a)⊠		s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,8 and 10-25</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,8,10-20,24 and 25</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-23</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	on Papers	,					
9) 🗌 7	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)[] 7	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)□ T	11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)   Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/485,082

Art Unit: 1762

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments and amendments, filed 5/6/2003, have been fully considered and reviewed by the examiner. In light of the amendments, the rejections to Claims 1-3, 8, 10-20, and 24-25 have been withdrawn. Thus the arguments directed to these claims are moot. Claims 1-3, 8, and 10-25 are pending.

The applicant has argued that the Olson reference does not specifically teach the claimed diffusion joining and compacting of the slip layer during the aluminizing and that the examiner is merely speculating that the same is happening in Olson. The examiner is not persuaded by the applicant's arguments. Olson et al. teaches that the aluminizing step includes diffusion into the MCrAlY layer, which strengthens the layer (Col. 4, lines 9-45). The act of diffusion in Olson et al. would have been expected to compact the MCrAlY layer, as the layer would be less porous due to the diffused material. Therefore, it remains the examiner's position that the teachings of Olson et al. read on the claimed diffusion joining and compacting of the slip layer during the aluminizing. It appears to merely be the opinion of applicant that this is not the case in Olson, as the applicant has not provided any factual showing or substantiation that the diffusion joining and compacting would not occur in the Olson process. If the applicant can provide a factual showing that the diffusion joining and compacting would not occur in the Olson process, then the examiner would allow the claims.

The remainder of the applicant's arguments have already been addressed by the examiner in previous office actions. The examiner is maintaining his position on these matters.

Application/Control Number: 09/485,082

Page 3

Art Unit: 1762

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olson et al. in view of Rigney and GB 2269393 by Floge et al. ("Floge").

Olson et al., Rigney, and Floge are applied here for the same reasons as given above and in paragraph 4 of the previous office action.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1-3, 8, 10-20, and 24-25 are allowed.
- 5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: None of the prior art cited or reviewed by the examiner teaches or fairly suggests the claimed process where the slip is produced by mixing powders of Ce and at least one of Cr and Ni.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 09/485,082

Art Unit: 1762

# Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 4

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Barr whose telephone number is 703-305-7919. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck can be reached on 703-308-2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 or 703-305-5408 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Michael Barr Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762

MB May 20, 2003